

Neuroethics Canada, Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia

BACKGROUND

Ethical concerns about privacy, incidental findings, and adverse events arise with the use of neurowearables. These concerns are in juxtaposition with claims of benefit in the \$12.2B dollar neurotechnology industry.

OBJECTIVE

Characterize industry pathways and policies for managing incidental findings and adverse events [1,2].





- Semi-structured interviews.
- Conventional qualitative methods validated for reproducibility.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



Chaires Canada de recherche Research du Canada Chairs





Safety, security, and responsibility: Managing incidental findings, adverse events, and privacy concerns in the use of commercial neurodevices Nicole Minielly, BSc, Viorica Hrincu, MSc, Judy Illes, CM, PhD

RESULTS

12 company representatives: 8 from recording device companies (e.g., EEG); 3 from stimulating device companies (e.g., tDCS); 1 from a company whose device does both (e.g., EEG+tDCS)

Few instances of incidental findings (n=1); few pathways to manage them (n=7)

Considerable interest It sparks particularly strong **debate** ... (Interview 1)

Management Strategies:

- Formal strategies for managing incidental findings (n=0)
- Customer service processes (e.g., call centres, apps) used to respond to issues, including adverse events (n=10)

Should we [...] suggest they go see their doctor because there's some **abnormal patterns** We're actually in the process of trying to make that decision... (Interview 9)

Table 1: Major Themes Pertaining to Neuroprivacy (Adapted from [2]).

| Data collection and | The much bigger problem [th privacy problem [] how do data is protected (Intervi |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| management Ethics principles | privacy is paramount and end user's rights and autonor (Interview 6) |
| Exceptionalism of brain data | [] people are a little more (Interview 1) |
| International standards, policies, and laws | privacy legislation in diffe up with technology. (Interview |

than incidental findings] is the you reassure your users that their view 6)

d you're developing this with the my as a guiding principle.

personal about brain data

erent jurisdictions has never kept ew 12)

data security.

- and wellness.

CONCLUSION

Potential benefits of neurowearables will be challenged by risks of nondisclosure of findings of possible medical significance and violations of personal privacy if regulatory guidelines remain elusive and globally disjointed.

[1] Minielly et al., In: Bard & Hildt, Ethical Dimensions of Commercial and DIY Neurotechnologies (Elsevier 2020)

[2] Minielly et al., *iScience* (2020)

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR INDUSTRY

> Minimize data collection and increase

Standardize and make explicit

incidental health finding and adverse

event management procedures.

Encourage regulatory standards

about neurotechnologies for health

Support better harmonized

international privacy standards.

REFERENCES